
## How The Regime Works



5. this creates crises and problems in the public and institution. necessitating more power grabs, and enriching/empowering those who took control behind the scenes, strengthening the first step as the process reinforces itself.

4. The most corrupt, and the most decadent and creative are now free to create policy, often obscurely, in secret, without meaningful or effective regulation. 'oversight' has two meanings here. Responsibility is diffused, everyone is 'just doing their job', either making or enforcing 'policy.'

2. Those institutions don't directly tell the people working for them what the game is. Those who cooperate, who don't ask too many questions, are naturally just promoted.

 Those who are non-cooperative, who aren't enthusiastic about corruption are just quietly pushed out, often on mere pretexts, purging any defectors

This is the best explaination for what is going on across the world today. Heres how we beat it.

## BASILISK - A Model Of Retaliation In Diffuse Environs

1. Pick an organization, any organization Doesn't matter how big or small, from the DHS all the way down to your local council



7. The organization can now be believably labelled 'embattled' as officials in it, public figures responsible for its funding, and the public who once supported it begin to see the 'writing on the wall'.


Employees/officials begin to leave on their own, as with each repetition, each new target, the belief grows that they'll be next.



6. officials begin to leave of their own accord, leadership creates its own scapegoats in order to stem the flow of outrage, as the protective veil of 'diffused responsibility' fails and gives way to the APPARENT inevitibility of one-at-atime collective punishment regardless of who is more or less responsible or who is actually calling the shots.

5. Officials, be it public or private begin to question "am I next?". A sense of panic is to be cultivated, like the institute itself is under an assault on its very credibility, and working for it isn't just disreputable, but risky.

2. Pick a random official from that institution. Any of them. Doing this causes the ideas of "risk" vs "responsibility" to become distinct. This is now the scapegoat for an external pressure campaign





4. After they have been socially/financially/reputation ally/caree destroyed, or quit, or turned whistleblower, or divided the institutions leadership and paralyzed their decisions & policy process, use them as an example to magnify the effect of this action against the next scapegoat.

P.S.

- \* Centipede Nation has successfully been executing this model against the FBI for over a year now. The public is psychologically prepared for their agency to soon be labelled 'embattled'.
- \* The effectiveness of this strategy is that it is contagious. The act of protecting one individual at an institution against the public campaign against them necessarily means taking responsibility, which concentrates risk, which breaks their entire model.

  At each repetition of the cycle, any attempt to save targetted officials, or targetted organizations by other officials or other institutions must necessarily reveal who is up-stream of the target, in terms of actual influence/decision making/control/responsibility.

Therefore any attempt to save these individual officials or institutions, reveal the (unofficial) structure of their illegal influence network.

The only choice the managerial regime has against the basilisk is either to doubledown, (which accelerates the public's alienation and thus destabalization of the regime), or the regime is forced to abandon each new target of activism, be it individual officials, or whole institutions, leading to dissension in the ranks and infighting.